

# Problems of Reading and Transliterating Urdu into Hindi script: An Approach to Human and Machine Transliteration

**Dr. Sabahuddin Ahmad**

*Department of Linguistics  
Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh*

## ABSTRACT

This paper briefly examines the orthographic structure of Urdu and difficulties involved in reading and transliterating Urdu script by both-human and machine. The Alphabetical inventory of Urdu represents only four vowel graphemes out of total 36 basic characters i.e. [ا،و،ی،ے]. Rest of the vowel sounds are represented by the diacritical marks.

These vowel graphemes are pronounced differently in different position and most of the time these graphemes depend upon the diacritic marks to represent the value of different vowels.

Most of the vowels are represented with the help of diacritic marks which are usually not maintained in regular writing. Absence of these diacritic marks poses lots of problems and error for both human and machine. In Devanagari, there is one to one correspondence between grapheme and the sound. Hindi speakers learning Urdu script face lot of problems in reading and writing Urdu script. The absence of diacritic marks in input text of Urdu also causes errors in the Devanagari output of the automatic transliteration system. (Malik et. al 2009) have shown in their research that the Hindi Word Language Model increases the accuracy of Urdu Hindi transliteration especially for Urdu input without diacritical marks but diacritical marks are crucial and necessary for Urdu to Devanagari transliteration.

Apart from this, a consonant phoneme is realized by a number of graphemes or combination of two graphemes in Urdu. These irregularities cause problems in reading and transliterating Urdu script. Empty graphemes of Urdu script also create problems in transliterating Urdu script into Devanagari script. This paper will explore and explain these problems of Urdu script with special reference to Devanagari.

**Key Words:** Transliteration, Graphemes, Consonant, Vowel, Diacritical Marks

## I. Introduction

Urdu script, known as 'nastaliq' writing, is an adoption of Perso-Arabic script with certain addition and modification. The alphabetic inventory of Urdu, represent 36 basic characters (for some it is 35). Out of these 36 graphemes, there are only four characters/graphemes for vowel sounds, as shown in the table no. 1 below:

Urdu Vowel Graphemes	Name
ا	əlf
و	wao
ی	çɔʃi: je
ے	bəri: je

Urdu has also diacritical marks which are either used alone or in combination with the above Urdu vowel graphemes to represent vowel sounds in Urdu script. These diacritical marks are shown in the table no. 2 below:

Urdu Vowel Diacritics	Name of the Graphemes	Vowel Sounds
◌َ	zəbər	/ə/
◌ِ	zer	/ɪ/
◌ُ	pej	/ʊ/
◌ُ	ʊlʃɑ: pej	/u/
◌̄	məd	-

‘◌̄’ /məd/ does not occur alone to represent any sound. It is used above ‘◌ِ’ /əɪf/ to represent /a:/ sound as in the word ‘◌̄’/a:m/ ‘mango’.

The vowel grapheme ‘◌ِ’ /əɪf/ in the initial position of the word works as an entity which has no sound in itself; it requires diacritic marks to represent any vowel sound.

I. [◌ِ] ‘Alif’+Diacritic: [◌ِ] ‘Alif’ at initial position with different diacritics represents different vowel sounds:

(a) Lax close unrounded front vowel

[◌ِ] with [◌ِ] = [◌ِ] - [◌ِ] - /ɪ/ ‘إملی’ /ɪmlɪ/

(b) Lax half-open unrounded mid vowel

[◌ِ] with [◌ِ] = [◌ِ] - [◌ِ] - /ə/ ‘انداز’ /ənda:z/

(c) Lax close rounded back vowel

[◌ِ] with [◌ِ] = [◌ِ] - [◌ِ] - /ʊ/ ‘الو’ /ʊlʊ/

(d) Tense open unrounded back vowel

[◌ِ] with [◌̄] = [◌̄] - [◌̄] - /ɑ/ ‘آم’ /ɑ:m/

Apart from the diacritics, [◌ِ] ‘Alif’ at initial position also needs other vowel graphemes to represent tense close unrounded front vowel /i/ and tense close rounded back vowel /u/ sound. Without these vowel graphemes these sounds cannot be represented:

II. [◌ِ] ‘Alif’+ Another Vowel Grapheme+Diacritic: There are some vowels sounds which need three Urdu characters for their representation in Urdu script.

(a) Tense close unrounded front vowel : /i/

[◌ِ]+[◌ِ] + [◌ِ] = [◌ِ] - /i/ ‘ایجاد’ /i:dʒɑ:d/

(b) Tense close rounded back vowel: /u/

[◌ِ]+[◌ِ] + [◌ِ] = [◌ِ] - /u/ ‘اوپر’ /upər/

The absence of diacritics from the above letters will change their nature and will be read as /e/ and /o/ instead of /i/ and /u/.

III. [ɪ] ‘Alif+ Another Vowel Grapheme: Tense half-close unrounded front vowel /e/ and tense half-open unrounded front vowel/o/ are represented by combining two vowel graphemes of Urdu. They do not need any diacritic to represent vowel:

(a) [ɪ]+[ɛ]= [ए] - /e/ ‘اے’ /e/

For example- /ek/ ‘ایک’, ‘एक’

(b) [ɪ]+[ɔ]= [ओ] - /o/ ‘ओ’ /o/

For example- /or/ ‘ओर’, ‘ओर’

## II. Positional Variation in the form of Vowel Characters

One character i.e. [ɪ] is common in all the vowel characters discussed in the previous section but the occurrence of this [ɪ] is limited to the initial position of the word. In medial and final position of the word, [ɪ] does not occur with the diacritics and other vowel characters which involve in representing particular vowel sound. It is similar like matras e.g. [ी, ु] etc of Hindi, which represent vowel sounds in middle and final position of the word. Consider following examples of Table 1:

Table 1

Devanagari Characters	Perso-Arabic Characters	IPA	Position	Examples
ई-ए	ای	/i/	I	ایمان ‘ईमान’ /Iman/
ई	ئ	/i/	F	کئی ‘कई’ /kai/
ी	ی	/i/	M	پیلا ‘पील’ /pila/
ी	ی	/i/	F	کہی ‘कही’ /kehɪ/
उ	أ	/u/	I	اس ‘’ /ʊs/
ु	ُ	/u/	M	کچھ ‘’ /kʊtʰ/
ऊ	اُو	/u/	I	اوپر ‘’ /ʊpər/
ू	وُ	/u/	M	जुन ‘’ /dʒun/
ू	وُ	/u/	F	बहलू ‘’ /bʰalu/

Urdu script has more variations in its character representation than the Devanagari script, as shown in table 1. In Urdu, /i/ vowel is represented by four different sets of characters depending upon its position of occurrence whereas in Devanagari, it is represented by two characters only i.e. ‘ई’ and ‘ी’. Similarly other vowels have different forms of character sets according to the position. This is a tricky thing in itself to learn the reading and writing of the Urdu script, though this is not the problem at all for

automatic transliteration now. An accurate mapping of the characters provides good output of the transliteration but still there are some features and characteristics of Urdu script which poses problems for both human and machine.

### III. Features Posing Problems in Reading and Transliteration Urdu Script

Absence of diacritic marks from the script, multiple consonant characters for single sound, empty letters/grapheme, characters for special Urdu sounds are the major reasons for the complexity of the Urdu script.

#### 3.1. Absence of Diacritic Marks

The diacritical marks, which play a very significant role in Urdu phonology, however, remain unmarked in regular text. Native speaker of Urdu realize them correctly but it is difficult for the non-native speakers or foreign learners. Without the diacritic marks words seem ambiguous to read and sometimes it is unpredictable. This is challenging for both human and machine. Consider the following examples of output taken from SANGAM- automatic transliteration tool (<https://sangam.learnpunjabi.org/>) developed by Punjabi University, accuracy of which is above 90%:

1. کیا اس کے پاس جو ہے۔ تمہارے پاس کتنے کلو جو ہے

IPA: kya uske pas dʒəʊ hɛ. tumhare pas kitne kilo dʒəʊ hɛ

ST<sup>1</sup>: क्या उसके पास जो है। तुम्हारे पास कितने किलो जो है।

IPA: kya uske pas **dʒo** hɛ. tumhare pas kitne kilo **dʒo** hɛ

OT: क्या उसके/इसके पास जो है। तुम्हारे पास कितने किलो जो है?

“Does he has **barleys**. How many kilo **barleys** do you have?”

2. کیا یہ الو ہے؟

IPA: kja yeh ʊllʊ hɛ?

ST: क्या ये अलोव है

OT<sup>2</sup>: क्या यह उल्लू है?

“is it an owl?”

In (1) and (2), we can see that two words i.e. ‘جو’ /dʒəʊ/ and ‘الو’ /ʊllʊ/ are transliterated as ‘जो’ /dʒo/ and ‘अलोव’ /əlov/ respectively which are incorrect. Correct transliterations of these words are ‘जौ’ /dʒəʊ/ and ‘उल्लू’ /ʊllʊ/ respectively. It is only because of the absence of the diacritic marks (AIRAAB) for vowels.

<sup>1</sup>ST- SANGAM Transliterator

<sup>2</sup>OT- Original Transliteration

Some more result of transliteration by SANGAM

Input Text:

انڈیا کے وزیراعظم نریندر مودی نے کہا ہے کہ انڈیا کے لیے اگلے 25 سال انتہائی اہم ہیں، اس میں سب کو خود سے پانچ وعدے کرنے اور انہیں پورا کرنے کی ضرورت ہے۔

این ڈی ٹی وی کے مطابق ملک کے 75 ویں یوم آزادی کے موقع پر قوم سے خطاب کرتے ہوئے نریندر مودی نے بتایا کہ کیسے 2047 تک ملک کو اسے بنانے والوں کی خواہوں کے مطابق ڈھالا جا سکتا ہے۔

انہوں نے ایک ایک کر کے پانچ وعدے گنوانے اور زور دیا کہ سب ان پر عمل کریں اور یہ عزم بھی دوہرایا کہ وہ خود بھی ان پر عمل کریں گے۔

Output Text:

इंडिया के वज़ीर-ए-आज़म नरेंद्र मोदी ने कहा है कि इंडिया के लिए अगले 25 साल इतिहाई अहम हैं,

इस में सबको खुद से पाँच वाअदे करने और उन्हें पूरा करने की ज़रूरत है

इनडीटीवीकेमुताबिकमलिकके75 वींयौम-ए-आज़ादीकेमौकापरकौमसेखिताबकरतेहुएनरेंद्रमोदीनेबतायाकिकैसे2047 तकमलिककोउसेबनानेवालोंकीखाबोंकेमुताबिकढालाजासकताहै  
उन्होंनेएकएककरकेपाँचवाअदेगँवाएऔरज़ोरदियाकिसबउनपरअमलकरेंऔरयेअज़मभीदोहरायाकिवोखुदभीउनपरअमलकरें  
गे

Table 2: Result Analysis

S.No.	Input Words	IPA	No. of Occurrence in text	Wrong Outputs	Correct words	Reasons
1	وعدے	/vade/	2	वाअदे	वादे	wrong mapping/special character
2	این ڈی ٹی وی	/en di ti vi/	1	इनडीटीवी	एनडीटीवी	wrong mapping
3	موقع	/maoqa/	1	मौका	मौके	different convention of reading
4	ملک	/mulk/	2	मलिक	मुल्क	absence of diacritics (AIRAAB)
5	خوابوں	/xwabō/	1	खाबों	ख्वाबों	wrong mapping
6	گوائے	/ginvae/	1	गँवाए	गिनवाए	absence of diacritics (AIRAAB)

We can see in the table 2 that the words of S. No. (4) and (6) i.e. ‘ملک’ ‘country’ / mulk/ and ‘گوائے’ ‘to make someone count’ /ginvae/ respectively are wrongly transliterated as ‘मलिक’ ‘name or title of the name’ /məlik/ and ‘गँवाए’ ‘lost’ /gāvae/ due to the absence of diacritic marks. Such errors affect the meaning of the text i.e. sometimes such errors totally change the meaning of a text, causes wrong information and misunderstanding.

### 3.2 Multiple Consonant Characters for One Sound

Like vowels, consonant sounds also have more than one character or graphemes to represent single sound. In other words, single sound has multiple correspondences in Urdu script but they are not positionally governed. These multiple characters have random distribution.

Table 3

Consonants	IPA	Hindi Alphabets	Urdu Alphabets			
Voiceless Dental Stop	/t/	त	ت	ط		
Voiceless Alveolar Fricative	/s/	स	س	ص	ث	
	/h/	ह	ه	ح		
Voiced Alveolar Fricative	/z/	ज़	ض	ذ	ز	ظ

Urdu has many loan words from Arabic and Persian that include graphemes from these languages, retained in the Urdu spelling. As a result, there are several different Urdu characters mapping to the same phoneme. These graphemes which represent same sound are of different origin so that it is hard to infer any pattern in their distribution. It causes errors in the spelling of words.

Table 4

Urdu Graphemes	IPA	Urdu Words	Words in Devanagari	IPA	Meaning
ت	/t/	تماشہ	तमाशा	/təmaʃa/	show
ط	/t/	طشتری	तशतरी	/təʃtəri/	plate
س	/s/	سانس	सांस	/sāns/	breath
ص	/s/	صبر	सब्र	/səbr/	patient
ث	/s/	ثمر	समर	/səmər/	fruit
ح	/h/	حلوه	हलवा	/həlwa/	sweet dish
ہ	/h/	ہلچل	हलचल	/həlcəl/	stir or bustle
ض	/z/	مضمون	मज़मून	/məzmun/	essay
ذ	/z/	ذره	ज़रा	/zərra/	particle
ز	/z/	مزدور	मज़दूर	/məzdur/	labour
ظ	/z/	ظالم	ज़ालिम	/zalim/	cruel

We can see in the table 4 that the identification of correct graphemes which has multiple correspondences is a real challenge while transliterating the Devanagari text into Urdu text. Similarly, it is confusing for the Urdu learners and sometimes for native speakers too. Multiple characters are the major challenge for Devanagari to Urdu automatic transliterator. For the learners, practice is the only tactics to come out this difficulty.

### 3.3 Empty letters/graphemes

Urdu owing to its Perso-Arabic heritage displays an interesting phenomenon of silence of graphemes where either an individual grapheme or even a sequence of graphemes is silent in Urdu orthography. It means that the sounds of these silent characters are not transferred in the target script.

Table 5

Urdu Words	IPA (Pronunciation)	Letters forming the word	Silent sequence of Grapheme	Automatic Transliteration	Correct Transliteration
علی الصباح	/əl:ssəbah/	ع، ل، ی، ا، ل، ص، ب، ا، ح	ل، ی، ا	अलस्सबाह	अल्सबाह
شمش الحق	/ʃəmʃulhuda/	ش، م، ش، ا، ل، ہ، د، ا	ا	शमशउल-हक	शमशुलहक
قمر الزمان	/q:mrʊzzəmə/	ق، م، ر، ا، ل، ز، م، ا، ن	ا، ل	कमरअल्ज़मां	कमरज़ज़मान
صباح الدين	/səbahuddin/	ص، ب، ا، ح، ا، ل، د، ی، ن	ا، ل	सबाहउद्दीन	सबाहुद्दीन
انالحق	/ənəhəq/	ا، ن، ا، ل، ح، ق	ا	अनालहक	अनलहक
قمر الهدا	/qəmruhluda/	ق، م، ر، ا، ل، ہ، د، ا	ا	कमरअलहदा	कमरुलहुदा
قمر الهدا	/qəmruhluda/	ق، م، ر، ا، ل، ہ، د، ا	ا	कमरअलहदा	कमरुलहुदा

### 3.4 Special Characters

Perso-Arabic Letter	Devanagari	Roman Script
ع	--	A
ء	--	'a

Mehwish Leghari and Mutee U Rahman Arain, 2015

We can represent these two sounds by the character representing above sound in Roman script by making minor changes using diacritic marks but we don't find any mean to represent them in Devanagari. They are alphabets, not diacritic marks but they don't represent any sound alone.

Alphabets representing Common phonemes in Hindi-Urdu		Alphabets representing special Phonemes of Urdu	
क	क	ق	ق
ख	ख	خ	ख
ग	ग	غ	ग
फ	फ	ف	फ
ज	ज	ز، ذ، ض، ظ	ज़

Apart from multiple character we should also focus on the special alphabets representing special sound which is the beauty of the Urdu script

## IV. Conclusion

To sum up, it can be said that the absence of 'Airaab' from the Urdu script poses major problems of ambiguity in reading Urdu script and transliterating Urdu script into another script e.g. Devanagari. Empty letters i.e. the letters which are not supposed to be pronounced as per the convention of the Urdu script are another source of error while reading Urdu text. They create problems in automatic transliteration as well. Feature of 'multiple characters for one sound' may not be much problematic in reading text but creates lots of confusion while writing in Urdu or transliterating any text into Urdu. This paper has highlighted the complexities of the Urdu script and discussed the problems which occur in reading Urdu script and the problems occur in automatic transliteration of Urdu script into any other script.



## References

- [1] Bushra J. and Tafseer A. (2009) "Hindi to Urdu Conversion: Beyond Simple Transliteration". *Proceedings of the Conference on Language & Technology*, Lahore, pp 24-31.
- [2] Malik, A. et.al. (2009). "A Hybrid Model for Urdu Hindi Transliteration" in the Proceedings of the 2009 Named Entities Workshop, ACL-IJCNLP 2009, pp. 177-185, Suntec, Singapore
- [3] Ahmad, Sabahuudin. (2009). *UrduHarf-o-Saut*.2010.Delhi:Arshia Publication,
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_ (2014). *Imlanama :Takhreeb vo radde takhreeb*. Delhi: ArshiaPublication,
- [5] Yamunà Kachru. Hindi. John Benjamins Publishing Co., (2006)